Aerogel 8/1/13

SP-20

- All of the SP-20's have been measured with very few outliers
- The graph can be seen on the upcoming slide

SP-20 Refractive Index

Mean 1.02 STDEV .0009 Tiles 236



Experimental Uncertainty

- Hasn't been added to the graphs yet, but has been calculated
- Calculating Uncertainty
 - Refraction(D): measured 1 tile 10 times
 - Distance between the tile and wall (L) : +/- 3.175 mm, an uncertainty that had been previously defined
 - Incident angle(Alpha): +/-.5 degrees, half of the smallest unit of measurement

Experimental Uncertainty

Measureme nt	D (mm)	L (mm)	Alpha (degrees)	Refractive Index		
1	47.41	1146.969	45.5	1.0208		
2	47.33	1146.969	45.5	1.0208		
3	47.51	1146.969	45.5	1.0209		
4	47.36	1146.969	45.5	1.021		
5					=	+/-
	47.55	1146.969	45.5	1.0207		.00015
6	47.56	1140.619	44.5	1.0207		
7	47.28	1140.619	44.5	1.0208		
8	47.68	1140.619	44.5	1.0208		
9	47.84	1140.619	44.5	1.0208		
10	47.51	1140.619	44.5	1.0208		
Standard Deviation	0.161	3.175	0.5	9.27025E-05		

Mathematical Uncertainty

- As Marco suggested last week we found how much each variable affects the refractive index
- We added and subtracted two percent two each variable and compared the results to the original
- We found that D affected the refractive index the most, followed by L and the angle of the tile's corner

Transmittance

- We ran transmittance tests on SP-20 and SP-30 tiles
- Although the SP-30's are denser, the SP-20 are generally much more cloudy and therefore have a lower transmittance
- In the graph it can be seen that when a SP-30 is both cloudy and more dense, though, it drops below some of the SP-20's

Transmittance Comparison



Humidity

- Humidity tests continue
- Found that by adding water to the bottom of the container the humidity would increase to the 90% range
- In the two tests we've run the refractive index still shows no significant change
- A corner broke when putting the last tile in, so we left it in the water and it too showed no significant change.